arXiv:cond-mat/9709314v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 29 Sep 1997

Proximity Effect Enhancement Induced by Roughness of SN Interface

R.G. Mints, and I.B. Snapiro
School of Physics and Astronomy, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences,
Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

(February 1, 2008)

Critical temperature reduction ΔT_c is considered for a thin film of a layered superconductor (S) with a rough surface covered by a thick layer of a normal metal (N). The roughness of the SN interface increases the penetration of electrons from the normal metal into the superconductor and leads to an enhancement of the proximity effect. The value of ΔT_c induced by the roughness of the SN interface can be much higher than ΔT_c for a film with a plain surface for an extremely anisotropic layered superconductor with the coherence lengths $\xi_a, \xi_b \gg \xi_c$.

PACS numbers: **74.60.Ge, 74.20.Ha**

Proximity effects are determined by the penetration of electrons from the normal metal into the superconductor and by the penetration of Cooper pairs from the superconductor into the normal metal.[1,2] A dramatic manifestation of proximity effects is the reduction of the critical temperature ΔT_c of a thin superconducting film covered by a thick layer of a normal metal.[1] In the case of an isotropic superconductor with a plain SN interface the value of ΔT_c within the Ginzburg-Landau approach is given by the formula[3]

$$\frac{\Delta T_c}{T_c} = -\frac{\gamma^2\pi^2}{4}\,\frac{\xi^2(0)}{d^2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.74$ is a numerical factor, $\xi(0)$ is the coherence length at zero temperature, d is the thickness of the superconducting film ($d \gg \xi(0)$).

Proximity effects in anisotropic high-temperature copper oxide superconductors are currently under thorough experimental and theoretical study.[4] The reduction of the transition temperature for an anisotropic superconductor depends on the orientation of the SN interface relative to the symmetry axes of the superconductor. The value of $|\Delta T_c|$ is maximal when the SN interface is perpendicular to the axis corresponding to the maximum value of the coherence length. For an anisotropic superconductor with a rough SN interface the local orientation of the film surface relative to the symmetry axes is varying along the surface. A certain average value of $\xi^2(0)$ appears then in Eq. (1). Depending on the roughness this average value can be determined by the highest of the coherence lengths even if for the same orientation of a plain surface ΔT_c is determined by the smallest of the coherence lengths. Therefore, for a strongly anisotropic superconductor the roughness of the SN interface can drastically increase the proximity effect.

In the present paper we treat the reduction of the critical temperature ΔT_c for a rough superconducting film of an anisotropic superconductor covered by a thick layer of a normal metal and located on top of a substrate from an insulator with a plane surface ($z = 0$), where the z axis is along the c direction and the xy plane is parallel to the ab planes. The average thickness of the film d is considered to be bigger than the coherence lengths at zero temperature $\xi_\mu(0)$ ($\mu = a, b, c$), *i.e.*, $d \gg \xi_\mu(0)$. We assume that the roughness is small and describe the SN interface as $z = z_S(x,y) = d + f(x,y)$, with $|f(x,y)| \ll d$ and a zero average value $\langle f\rangle$ of $f(x,y)$

$$\langle f\rangle = \frac{1}{A}\int dxdy\, f(x,y) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where A is the area of the film. The typical length scale l of variations of the film thickness $f(x,y)$ is considered to be from the interval $\xi_\mu(0) \ll l \ll \xi_\mu(T)$.

To find the reduction of the transition temperature we treat the Ginzburg-Landau free energy

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{H_c^2}{4\pi}\int d^3\mathbf{r}\left[-\Psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\Psi^4 + \xi_\mu^2\Big|\frac{d\Psi}{dx_\mu}\Big|^2\right], \tag{3}$$

where the order parameter Ψ depends on the three coordinates x, y, and z in the case of a rough SN interface and H_c is the critical magnetic field. To account for this dependence and to find the value of $\mathcal{F}$ we use a trail function in the form

$$\Psi = \Phi(x,y)\cos\frac{\pi z}{2[d + f(x,y)]}. \tag{4}$$

This function satisfies to the standard Ginzburg-Landau boundary conditions at the film surfaces[3]

$$\Psi\Big|_{z=d+f(x,y)} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0} = 0 \tag{5}$$

and to find $\Phi(x,y)$ we have to minimize the free energy.

Substituting Eq. (4) into the functional (3) and assuming that $|f| \ll d$ we obtain after integration over z

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{H_c^2}{8\pi}\int dxdy\left\{\left[\pi^2\xi_c^2 + \frac{\pi^2+3}{3}\,\xi_i^2 f_i^2 - 4d^2\right]\frac{\Phi^2}{4d} + d\xi_i^2\Phi_i^2 + \xi_i^2 f_i\Phi\Phi_i + \frac{3d}{8}\,\Phi^4\right\} \tag{6}$$

where $i = a, b$, $f_i = \partial f/\partial x_i$, and $\Phi_i = \partial\Phi/\partial x_i$. Minimization of the free energy (6) results in the following equation for $\Phi(x,y)$

$$\xi_i^2 \Phi_{ii} + \frac{\xi_i^2 f_i}{2d}\,\Phi_i + \Phi\Big[1 - \frac{\pi^2 \xi_c^2}{4d^2} - \frac{(3+\pi^2)\,\xi_i^2 f_i^2}{12d^2} + \frac{\xi_i^2 f_{ii}}{2d}\Big] - \frac{3}{4}\,\Phi^3 = 0 \qquad (7)$$

We are interested in a solution of equation (7) imposed by the roughness of the SN interface. This solution has a length scale of the order of the length scale l of variations of the film thickness $f(x,y)$. We take $\Phi(x,y)$ in the form

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1(x,y), \qquad (8)$$

where $\Phi_0 = Const$, $|\Phi_1| \ll \Phi_0$, and length scale of $\Phi_1(x,y)$ is of the order of l. It follows then from Eq. (7) that with the accuracy of $|f(x,y)|/d \ll 1$ we have

$$\Phi_1 = -\Phi_0\,\frac{f}{2d}. \qquad (9)$$

Finally, using Eqs. (6), (8), and (9) we obtain for the Ginzburg-Landau free energy

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \Big\{ \Big[\frac{6+\pi^2}{12}\,\frac{\xi_i^2 \langle f_i^2 \rangle}{d^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{4}\,\frac{\xi_c^2}{d^2} - 1 \Big] \Phi_0^2 + \frac{3}{8}\,\Phi_0^4 \Big\}, \qquad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0 = AdH_c^2/128\pi$. The temperature of the superconducting-to-normal transition is determined by the change of sign of the coefficient at Φ_0^2 in the equation (10) for the free energy. Taking the temperature dependence of the coherence lengths near T_c as

$$\xi_\mu = \gamma \xi_\mu(0)(1 - T/T_c)^{-1/2} \qquad (11)$$

we obtain the reduction of the transition temperature ΔT_c in the following final form

$$\frac{\Delta T_c}{T_c} = -\gamma^2\,\frac{\pi^2}{4}\,\Big[\frac{\xi_c^2(0)}{d^2} + \frac{6+\pi^2}{3\pi^2}\,\frac{\xi_i^2(0)\langle f_i^2\rangle}{d^2}\Big]. \qquad (12)$$

In the case of a plain SN interface the slopes $f_i = 0$ and Eq. (12) coincides with Eq. (1). For a rough SN interface there is an enhancement of the proximity effects, the higher is the anisotropy the higher is this enhancement. A considerable enhancement of the reduction of the critical temperature appears for an extremely anisotropic layered superconductor with $\xi_{a,b} \gg \xi_c$ even if the roughness is relatively moderate $\langle f_i^2 \rangle \sim 1$. Assuming, for simplicity, that $\xi_a = \xi_b = \xi_{\rm ab}$ and $\langle f_a^2 \rangle = \langle f_b^2 \rangle = \langle f'^2 \rangle$ we derive from Eq. (12) a simple formula to estimate the effect of the roughness of the SN interface on ΔT_c, namely,

$$\frac{\Delta T_c}{T_c} = -1.35\,\Big[\frac{\xi_c^2(0)}{d^2} + 1.07\,\langle f'^2 \rangle\,\frac{\xi_{\rm ab}^2(0)}{d^2}\Big]. \qquad (13)$$

In conclusion, we have studied the reduction of the critical temperature for a thin rough film of a layered superconductor covered by a thick layer of a normal metal. We have found that in the case of an extremely anisotropic layered superconductor the roughness of the SN interface can significantly increase the reduction of the critical temperature compared with the case of a plain film.

We acknowledge the support of the German-Israeli Foundation for Research and Development, Grant # 1-300-101.07/93. RGM is grateful to G. Deutscher and E. Polturak for stimulating and informative discussions.

---

[1] G. Deutscher and P.G. de Gennes, in *Superconductivity*, Vol. 2, edited by R.D. Parks, (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1969), p. 1005.

[2] P.G. de Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys*, (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1966).

[3] A.A. Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988).

[4] K.A. Delin and A.W. Kleinsasser, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **9**, 227 (1996).